In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)	
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
)	No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
ALL ACTIONS.)	
)	

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF LARRY PAGE

MARCH 22, 2013

Reported by: Rosalie A. Kramm, CSR No. 5469, CRR

KRAMM COURT REPORTING Page: 1

13:46:11 1	Q. Did you ever talk with Mr. Jobs about the	
13:46:14 2	companies that Apple had do-not-call agreements with?	
13:46:18 3	MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form.	
13:46:22 4	BY MR. HEIMANN:	
13:46:22 5	Q. Let me restate the question.	
13:46:24 6	Did you ever talk with Mr. Jobs about that	
13:46:26 7	companies Apple had do-not-cold-call agreements with?	
13:46:31 8	MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form.	
13:46:36 9	THE WITNESS: I do not recall talking with	
13:46:37 10	Mr. Jobs about cold calling, just in general, as I've	
13:46:40 11	already testified.	
13:46:56 12	MR. JOHNSON: Was that a five-minute marker?	
13:47:00 13	MR. HEIMANN: Yes.	
13:47:04 14	BY MR. HEIMANN:	
13:47:05 15	Q. Let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit 448.	
13:47:09 16	MR. JOHNSON: Given the marker, would you like	
13:47:11 17	to take a break now?	
13:47:12 18	MR. HEIMANN: No, this won't take more than	
13:47:14 19	five minutes.	
13:48:35 20	Q. Have you had a chance to look at this?	
13:48:37 21	A. I had a chance to skim it, yes.	
13:48:39 22	Q. All right. So this is in part a declaration of	
13:48:41 23	Edward Colligan, and to it is attached some email	
13:48:47 24	exchanges. The first question is, have you seen this	
13:48:50 25	declaration before?	

Q. Let me try that one again.

Did you ever agree with any other company that it would enter into a do-not-cold-call agreement with a different company?

MR. JOHNSON: It's the other company that is

17:35:15 21

17:35:18 22

17:35:21 23

17:35:24 24

17:35:26 25

10	f Larr	y Pago	In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
1:	10	1	I, Rosalie A. Kramm, Certified Shorthand
	10	2	Reporter licensed in the State of California, License No.
	10	3	5469, hereby certify that the deponent was by me first
1:	10	4	duly sworn and the foregoing testimony was reported by me
;1 :	10	5	and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided
11:	10	6	transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,
11:	10	7	and true record of said proceedings.
11:	10	8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
11:	:10	9	attorney for either of any of the parties in the
11	:10	10	foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way
11	:10	11	interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.
41	:10	12	The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the
41	:10	13	original transcript will render the reporter's
41	:10	14	certificates null and void.
41	:10	15	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
41	:10	16	
41	:10	17	X Reading and Signing was requested.
41	:10	1.8	Reading and Signing was waived.
41	:10	19	Reading and signing was not requested.
41	:10	20	Posali a Kramm
41	.:10	21	Totali U Reason
41	L:10	22	ROSALIE A. KRAMM
41	L:10	23	CSR 5469, RPR, CRR
4-	L:10	24	
		25	